UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.         | FILING DATE                | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/566,579              | 01/31/2006                 | Takeshi Azami        | Q92766              | 5131             |
| 23373<br>SUGHRUE MI     | 7590 06/05/200<br>ON, PLLC | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| 2100 PENNSY             | LVANIA AVENUE, N           | MICALI, JOSEPH       |                     |                  |
| SUITE 800<br>WASHINGTOI | N, DC 20037                | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
|                         |                            |                      | 1793                |                  |
|                         |                            |                      |                     |                  |
|                         |                            |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                         |                            |                      | 06/05/2009          | PAPER            |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |  |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/566,579      | AZAMI ET AL. |  |
|                 |              |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit     |  |

|   | Joseph V. Micali  | 1793   |   |
|---|---|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the o   | correspondence add   | ress                                      |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>01 June 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP   | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A   | LLOWANCE.  |   |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:   | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi<br>eal (with appeal fee) in compliance      | t, or other evidence, wwith 37 CFR 41.31; or               | which places the r (3) a Request          |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing  | date of the final rejection.  |  |   |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)  | ater thán SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE<br>r). | g date of the final rejection<br>FIRST REPLY WAS FI        | on.<br>LED WITHIN TWO                     |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi   | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee<br>be action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp  | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be  | filed within two month                                     | s of the date of                          |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi<br>AMENDMENTS  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of the                                     |   |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b   |   |  | cause                                     |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor  |   | ΓE below);   |   |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  | •   |  |   |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti  | ter form for appeal by materially red   | ducing or simplifying t                                    | he issues for                             |
| appeal; and/or<br>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c  | corresponding number of finally reig  | acted claims   |   |
| NOTE: part (c) above is explained below. (See 37  |   | scied ciaims.  |   |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  |   | mnliant Amendment (  | PTOL-324)                                 |
| <ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ul>   |   | inpliant Amenament (                                       | 1 10L-02+).                               |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all   |   | timely filed amendmer                                      | nt canceling the                          |
| non-allowable claim(s).   | owabie ii subiliitted iii a separate,   | unicly filed afficianter                                   | it carreening the                         |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |   | l be entered and an e                                      | xplanation of                             |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |   |  |   |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |   |  |   |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5 and 10</u> .  |   |  |   |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>6-9</u> .  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |  |   |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   |   |  |   |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea                                       | al and/or appellant fail                                   | s to provide a                            |
| 10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation  | n of the status of the claims after e   | ntry is below or attach                                    | ed.                                       |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   |   |  |   |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but   | t does NOT place the application in   | n condition for allowan                                    | ce because:                               |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:  | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |  |   |
| /Joseph V Micali/   | /Michael A Marcheschi/  |  |   |
| Examiner, Art Unit 1793   | Primary Examiner, Art U   |  |   |
|   |   |  |   |
|   |   |  |   |

NOTE FROM ABOVE: Claim 4 now raises a 112 issue with the multiple recitation of the graphite target in the generation chamber. In addition, new claim 11 would raise an issue of (1) new matter as a predetermined constant angle has not been explicitly stated in applicant's disclosure and/or (2) a scope of enablement issue because, assuming arguendo, the specification only supports an angle of 30 degrees to 60 degrees, however, "predetermined" can broadly be interpreted to be any angle from 1-90 degrees.